

# **Research on AI-Driven Adaptive Difficulty and Feedback Mechanisms in Serious Games:**

## **A Case Study of Complex Procedural Skills Training**

Hengran Yang, Graduate School

*Kyonggi University, Suwon 17092, Gyeonggi Province, South Korea*

### **Abstract**

Artificial Intelligence (AI) brings new chances for personalized and adaptive learning in Serious Games (SGs). This paper systematically analyzes recent research on AI - based adaptive difficulty and feedback systems in serious games for training complex procedural skills. It starts with key concepts of serious games, procedural skill learning and adaptivity principles. Then, it explores major AI technologies like machine learning, reinforcement learning, natural language processing and generative AI in developing learning models and adaptivity options. The paper presents practical uses and benefits of adaptive systems in medical simulation and technical training, and looks into evaluation challenges of adaptive serious games in skill transfer assessment. It points out research challenges such as complex implementation and ethical issues like data privacy and algorithmic bias, and underlines the need for Explainable AI (XAI) before giving future research opportunities. The study shows AI - driven adaptive systems have great potential to enhance procedural skills training, but their full effectiveness relies on more research in skill transfer validation, integrated model design, explainability and ethical governance.

**Keywords:** Artificial Intelligence; Serious Games; Adaptive Difficulty; Adaptive

## **1. Introduction**

The educational and training-focused interactive digital applications known as Serious Games (SGs) have become increasingly prominent across various disciplines in recent years because they prove effective in scenarios demanding extensive practice to acquire complex skills (Bellotti et al., 2024). Complex procedural skills like surgical operations or emergency protocols include ordered cognitive and motor actions that require precise instruction and repetitive practice for mastery (Kneebone, 2003). SGs serve as a powerful substitute to traditional training methods which face limitations due to high risks and costs by creating simulated environments that are both safe and repeatable (Bellotti et al., 2024).

The quick development of AI technologies has revitalized SGs by enabling both personalized learning experiences and adaptive instructional methods (Kriglstein & Wallner, 2024; Bhavana et al., 2025). Dynamic learner models (LMs) are built by AI systems through the analysis of learners' behavioral interactions and task performances while tracking physiological-emotional responses. These models enable the adjustment of game content and interaction methods to suit individual learner requirements and learning speeds (VanLehn, 1988). The personalization feature represents a solution to the shortcomings of standard universal teaching methods which fail to accommodate diverse student needs.

This paper focuses on exploring the application of AI in driving two core adaptive mechanisms within SGs: The paper examines how Adaptive Difficulty and Adaptive Feedback mechanisms function within the context of complex procedural skills

training. Adaptive difficulty maintains learners' engagement and optimal flow experience through real-time challenge adjustments, while adaptive feedback offers customized instructional support to help learners acquire skills and correct errors while enhancing their understanding (Shute, 2008; Csikszentmihalyi, 1990). Through a systematic examination of prior research literature this paper seeks to explore the theoretical bases, main technologies involved, practical uses and assessment techniques pertaining to AI-powered adaptive difficulty and feedback systems. The paper will examine current challenges and future trajectories while aiming to produce useful references for both academic research and practical applications in this interdisciplinary field.

## **2. Theoretical Foundations and Background**

### **2.1 The Role of Serious Games in Procedural Skills Training**

Serious Games serve as crucial tools for procedural skills training by establishing immersive learning environments that foster experiential learning and practical skill development.

Serious Games enable immersive environments that promote experiential learning and skill practice through interactive experiences (Bellotti et al., 2024). SGs utilize game design features such as goal-focused tasks and engaging narratives to both spark and maintain students' internal motivation and active learning involvement. Through simulations of real-world environments or theoretical constructs learners can safely explore trial-and-error methods and practice deliberately (Kneebone, 2003). The importance of this approach reaches its peak when applied to procedural skills training. Achieving skill mastery requires both the ability to carry out operational

steps (procedural knowledge) and comprehension of foundational principles and causal relationships (conceptual knowledge). Persistent practice facilitates the union of procedural and conceptual knowledge types which results in precise and automated performance abilities (Cheung et al., 2019; Kneebone, 2003). SGs provide an optimal technological base for developing deep connections between cognitive understanding and practical operation.

## **2.2 Core Concepts of Adaptivity**

The primary feature that sets AI-powered SGs apart from traditional static games is adaptivity which aims to deliver highly personalized learning experiences.

Adaptive Difficulty or Dynamic Difficulty Adjustment (DDA) enables games to modify task difficulty in real time by evaluating the learner's performance and other factors including skill level and emotional state. The main goal is to keep learners engaged and immersed by maintaining a state of "flow," which occurs when challenges are balanced with their skills (Csikszentmihalyi, 1990), thereby preventing frustration from tasks that are too difficult and boredom from tasks that are too easy. Educational environments utilize adaptive difficulty as a dynamic scaffolding method that delivers suitable challenges to advance the learner's knowledge building and skill acquisition (VanLehn, 1988).

Adaptive Feedback describes how systems deliver custom guidance through analysis of learner behavior patterns and error types while considering knowledge states and needs (Shute, 2008). This approach expands past basic correctness evaluation by including error analysis and providing step-by-step guidance alongside corrective recommendations and principles explanations. The approach seeks to advance

accurate comprehension of skill specifics alongside possible motivation improvement for learning (Bhavana et al., 2025).

The Learner Model (LM) serves as the essential foundation that enables the deployment of adaptive mechanisms. This system creates a digital profile that updates in real time to reflect each learner's unique traits. The system employs AI algorithms to build and refine the learner model by continuously tracking and analyzing multidimensional gameplay data from learners including action sequences and reaction times among other metrics. The LM demonstrates the learner's present knowledge status together with skill level and potential difficulties while tracking emotional variations to enable the system to make precise adaptive decisions including difficulty adjustment and feedback strategy selection (Hooshyar et al., 2021; VanLehn, 1988; Charles & Black, 2004).

### **3. AI-Driven Adaptive Mechanisms: Technology and Implementation**

AI technology plays an indispensable role in analyzing learner data, constructing learner models, and executing adaptive decisions.

#### **3.1 Technical Approaches for Adaptive Difficulty Adjustment**

AI methods for implementing adaptive difficulty are diverse, but their core logic generally follows a dynamic "track-analyze-adjust" adaptation loop. The system first needs to continuously track and collect data reflecting the learner's state. These input data sources are broad, primarily including objective performance metrics from tasks (e.g., scores, completion times, success/failure rates, accuracy), behavioral data during interaction (e.g., gameplay style preferences, exploration path choices, specific action frequencies), and, in some cutting-edge research, physiological or emotional data (e.g.,

monitoring heart rate or galvanic skin response via wearable sensors, or inferring emotional states by analyzing facial expressions via camera) (Bellotti et al., 2024; Hooshyar et al., 2021). Based on the acquired data, the system employs various AI techniques and methods for in-depth analysis to drive adaptive decisions. A relatively straightforward approach involves rule-based systems or heuristics, triggering difficulty adjustments based on predefined "IF-THEN" rules (e.g., "If the player fails a segment three consecutive times, reduce the complexity of subsequent tasks"). This method is easy to implement and understand but offers limited flexibility and precision (Aydin et al., 2023). More complex and refined approaches rely on Machine Learning (ML) techniques, utilizing various supervised or unsupervised learning algorithms (e.g., Support Vector Machines (SVM), decision trees, neural networks, clustering algorithms) to learn hidden patterns from massive player data. These patterns are used to predict future performance, assess skill levels, or identify the learner's current state (e.g., confused, mastered), thereby guiding the system towards more fine-grained difficulty adjustments (Bellotti et al., 2024). Reinforcement Learning (RL) treats difficulty adjustment as a sequential decision problem. An AI agent within the system learns through continuous interaction with the game environment and the learner, using trial-and-error to discover difficulty adjustment policies that maximize specific long-term objectives (e.g., maximizing learning efficiency, optimizing engagement, maintaining flow state) (Bellotti et al., 2024). Furthermore, Fuzzy Logic is suitable for handling the inherent ambiguity and uncertainty in learner states (e.g., "degree of knowledge mastery" is not simply binary). It allows the system to reason and make decisions based on rules that are "partially satisfied," offering more flexible adaptation than traditional binary logic

(Aydin et al., 2023). Affective Computing represents a frontier direction, aiming to infer the player's emotional state (e.g., anxiety, frustration, boredom, focus) in real-time by analyzing physiological signals or behavioral expressions. This emotional information is then used as a basis for adjusting game difficulty or other experiential elements, potentially creating experiences more attuned to the player's emotional needs, although the accuracy of emotion recognition and effective mapping to adaptive strategies remain significant challenges (Kriglstein & Wallner, 2024). Ultimately, the AI system's decisions must translate into adaptive output adjustments to specific game elements. Common targets for adjustment include attributes of opponents or obstacles (e.g., number, strength, speed, behavior patterns), the availability of in-game resources or hints, the complexity of the task itself (e.g., number of objectives, required steps), the strictness of time limits, the overall pacing of the game, the presentation order of levels or learning content, and even the saliency of interface elements or interaction methods (Hooshyar et al., 2021). In educational applications, adaptive difficulty adjustment faces a core trade-off: maintaining learner engagement while ensuring they adequately confront and overcome learning challenges essential for mastering the target skill, avoiding oversimplification that hinders deep learning.

### **3.2 AI-Driven Adaptive Feedback Generation**

AI technology is also widely applied to generate feedback that is more personalized, targeted, and instructive, with its effectiveness highly dependent on an accurate grasp of the learner's state. This first requires deepening the application of learner modeling. Beyond basic performance data, the system might utilize more complex models to

infer the learner's knowledge structure, the fine-grained level of skill mastery, specific conceptual misunderstandings, or procedural errors. Techniques like Bayesian networks, machine learning, and Knowledge Space Theory (KST), along with its extension for procedural skills (Procedural KST, PKST), are often employed to build such sophisticated learner models (Hooshyar et al., 2021; Rong et al., 2023). Notably, PKST focuses specifically on the entire problem-solving process (i.e., the sequence of operations) rather than just the final outcome, enabling a deeper diagnosis of specific obstacles in procedural skill acquisition (Rong et al., 2023). Based on the learner model, various AI techniques and methods are used to generate adaptive feedback. Natural Language Processing (NLP) plays a central role in text- or dialogue-based feedback systems. It can be used to understand learner input in natural language (e.g., questions), automatically generate clear, coherent, contextually appropriate feedback text, explanations, or prompts, and drive anthropomorphic chatbots or virtual agents capable of interactive support and Q&A (Bhavana et al., 2025). Generative AI (GenAI) or Large Language Models (LLMs), which have gained significant attention recently, demonstrate powerful capabilities. They can automatically generate highly personalized, specific, actionable, and even motivationally toned text feedback based on the learner's specific actions, the game context, and predefined instructional goals, potentially mimicking the quality of human expert feedback. However, ensuring the accuracy, relevance, appropriateness, and pedagogical effectiveness of generated feedback typically requires careful prompt engineering, sufficient contextual input, and possibly multi-step generation strategies for constraint and guidance (Bhavana et al., 2025). Simpler rule-based systems provide feedback based on predefined "IF-THEN" logic, offering limited adaptability (Aydin et al., 2023). Hint systems, on



the other hand, specialize in generating tiered hints, providing progressively detailed help from general clues to specific steps, based on learner requests or inferred needs (Toukiloglou & Xinogalos, 2023). Ultimately, AI-driven feedback systems can dynamically adjust multiple dimensions of feedback for personalization, including the content (ranging from correctness judgment to principle explanation), timing (immediate vs. delayed), level of detail (general vs. specific), and presentation modality (text, image, highlighting, virtual agent dialogue, etc.) (Toukiloglou & Xinogalos, 2023; Shute, 2008).

### **3.3 Synergy and Integration of Mechanisms**

Theoretically, synergistically integrating adaptive difficulty and adaptive feedback into a unified system can provide more comprehensive, intelligent, and effective personalized learning support. Difficulties detected by the feedback system can inform difficulty adjustments, while the current difficulty level can influence the type of feedback needed. This integration requires a central Adaptation Engine capable of coordinating different strategies based on a comprehensive learner model. However, designing and implementing such integrated systems entails higher complexity and remains a key direction for future research.

## **4. Application, Evaluation, and Challenges**

### **4.1 Application Examples**

AI-driven adaptive serious games have shown potential in various domains requiring complex procedural skills training. The healthcare sector is a particularly active area, with applications in surgical simulation (Kneebone, 2003), emergency resuscitation

training, and clinical diagnosis skill development (Kriglstein & Wallner, 2024). Exploratory applications also exist in technical training (e.g., programming (Toukiloglou & Xinogalos, 2023), equipment assembly), aviation simulation, military training, and emergency response (Bellotti et al., 2024). In these contexts, adaptivity might manifest as adjusting the complexity of simulated cases, the tolerance for errors, or providing targeted operational prompts or error diagnostic feedback.

4.2 Evaluation Methods and Challenges

Evaluating the effectiveness of AI-adaptive serious games requires a multi-dimensional, mixed-methods approach, as outlined in Table 1

Table 1: Example Evaluation Methods for Adaptive Serious Games

Method/Framework	Focus	Example Metrics/Methods
Pre/Post Knowledge Assessment	Knowledge acquisition & retention	Questionnaire scores, test score changes
User Experience/Usability Scales	Subjective experience, ease of use, acceptance	SUS, UEQ, TAM questionnaire scores
Serious Game Validation Frameworks	Validity as an assessment/training tool	Content, construct, predictive validity evidence, etc.
Competency-Based Assessment	Mastery of specific competencies	In-game task performance, Training Certification

		Method (TCM)
Procedural Knowledge Space Theory (PKST)	Procedural skill mastery state, process	Solution path analysis, knowledge state inference, adaptive algorithms
Learning/Game Analytics	Behavior patterns, interaction sequences	Log data mining, pattern recognition, sequence analysis
Engagement/Motivation Assessment	Player investment, interest, flow state	Playtime, persistence, self-report questionnaires, interviews
Qualitative Methods	In-depth understanding of UX and behavior	Interviews, observation, focus groups, think-aloud

The primary challenge in evaluation involves assessing Skill Transfer (Cheung et al., 2019) which examines if learners can apply gaming-acquired skills to actual work environments. The majority of current research studies either insufficiently examine skill transfer or deliver inconclusive findings (Cook et al., 2012). The field faces a critical methodological challenge in demonstrating how to effectively transfer skills from simulations to real-world applications.

The greatest challenge within evaluation research exists when measuring Skill Transfer (Cheung et al., 2019) because it focuses on how learners apply gaming-acquired skills to real-world workplace tasks. Existing research frequently lacks proper evaluation of skill transfer or yields inconclusive findings according to

Cook et al. (2012). The field faces a crucial methodological obstacle in demonstrating and facilitating effective skill transfer from simulations to real-world applications.

### **4.3 Challenges and Ethical Considerations**

AI-adaptive serious games show great potential but their implementation presents numerous obstacles which require detailed ethical evaluation. The implementation stage presents multiple challenges for developers and researchers. Designing and developing AI-adaptive serious games presents inherent complexity because it demands extensive knowledge integration from game design experts along with domain-specific knowledge such as medicine and education in addition to AI algorithms and software engineering expertise (Bellotti et al., 2024). AI/ML technologies face inherent limitations including data requirements, model calibration challenges and generalization problems which create additional obstacles and improper application of such AI systems can lead to negative consequences (Hooshyar et al., 2021). Adaptive systems require robust data infrastructure for managing their extensive multi-source "learning trace" data. Research continues to struggle with learner modeling accuracy which affects how well adaptive strategies work. The significant practical barriers to creating and sustaining advanced AI-adaptive serious games stem from their high development cost and extensive resource requirements.

AI's expanding role in learning processes brings with it ethical considerations which require close examination according to Berger & Müller (2021). Data privacy and security stand as fundamental issues because collected detailed data such as performance metrics and physiological information must adhere to regulatory

standards to protect user privacy (Kriglstein & Wallner, 2024). The importance of fairness and algorithmic bias demands constant monitoring to identify and eliminate biases in training data or algorithm design which might produce unfair results while guaranteeing equal treatment for every student and preventing the widening of digital exclusion gaps. The opaque "black box" design of numerous advanced AI models generates significant worries regarding the transparency and explainability of their decisions. Adaptive AI actions require Explainable AI (XAI) methods that deliver straightforward explanations to build user trust and allow evaluation of the teaching methods (Berger & Müller, 2021). The preservation of learner autonomy and control alongside automated adaptation convenience requires ethical consideration while ensuring the accuracy and safety of training content and AI decisions becomes crucial especially in high-stakes fields such as healthcare.

## **5. Conclusion**

The powerful personalization and adaptivity capabilities of AI technology in serious games exhibit tremendous potential for teaching complex procedural skills. AI-driven adaptive serious games generate more secure and effective training environments through real-time difficulty adjustments and customized feedback mechanisms which also heighten engagement levels.

The complete realization of these capabilities faces numerous obstacles which need to be addressed. The absence of solid proof demonstrating dependable transfer of skills into real-world applications represents the largest hurdle. Technical development challenges and implementation expenses along with ethical issues around data privacy and algorithmic fairness obstruct progress in this field. Explainable AI (XAI) plays an

essential role in establishing user confidence and enabling examination of teaching methods according to Berger & Müller (2021).

Research efforts moving forward should focus on advancing multiple essential directions. The most important objective is to enhance empirical studies and create new evaluation techniques for skill transfer while establishing rigorous real-world learning transfer validation as the primary focus. Future research must delve deeper into the design and evaluation of integrated adaptive models to discover how multiple adaptation mechanisms can be effectively coordinated to provide optimal personalized learning support. The development of Explainable AI (XAI) applications within adaptive serious games stands as a fundamental necessity to improve system transparency alongside its trustworthiness and auditability. The enhancement of learner modeling accuracy and robustness particularly in tracking complex cognitive and emotional responses remains essential for maximizing adaptive effectiveness. Research field requires development of unified evaluation frameworks and reporting standards to enable study comparison and knowledge growth. The integration of AI computational power with human educator expertise through collaborative adaptation models could lead to the development of adaptive learning systems that better meet practical educational requirements. AI-driven adaptive serious games stand out as an emergent and promising field of interdisciplinary research. The path to making this technology serve human skill enhancement and lifelong learning goals requires ongoing efforts and integrated progress across technology development, instructional design principles, evaluation science methods, and ethical governance standards.

## References

- Aydin, M., Karal, H., & Nabiye, V. (2023). Examination of adaptation components in serious games: A systematic review study. *Education and Information Technologies*, 28(6), 6541–6562. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-022-11462-1>
- Bellotti, F., Berta, R., De Gloria, A., et al. (2024). A Systematic Review of Serious Games in the Era of Artificial Intelligence, Immersive Technologies, the Metaverse, and Neurotechnologies: Transformation Through Meta-Skills Training. *Electronics*, 14(4), 649. <https://doi.org/10.3390/electronics14040649>
- Berger, F., & Müller, W. (2021). Back to Basics: Explainable AI for Adaptive Serious Games. In B. Fletcher, M. Ma, S. Göbel, J. Baalsrud Hauge, & T. Marsh (Eds.), Serious Games. JCSG 2021. *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, vol 12945 (pp. 79-91). Springer, Cham. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-88272-3\\_6](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-88272-3_6)
- Bhavana, S., Akula, A., Rao, V. N., & Swetha, C. (2025). Advanced AI Approaches in Education. In M. Khaldi (Ed.), *Supporting Personalized Learning and Students' Skill Development With AI* (pp. 1-16). IGI Global. <https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3693-8965-2.ch001>
- Charles, D., & Black, M. (2004). Dynamic player modeling: A framework for player-centered digital games. In R. Cantoni & J. McLoughlin (Eds.), *Proceedings of the 1st international conference on Technologies for interactive digital storytelling and entertainment* (pp. 29-35). Springer, Berlin,

Heidelberg. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-27833-2\\_5](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-27833-2_5)

- Cheung, J. J. H., Kulasegaram, K. M., Woods, N. N., et al. (2019). Why Content and Cognition Matter: Integrating Conceptual Knowledge to Support Simulation-Based Procedural Skills Transfer. *Journal of General Internal Medicine*, 34(Suppl 1), 969–977. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11606-019-04959-y>
- Cook, D. A., Hamstra, S. J., Brydges, R., Zendejas, B., Szostek, J. H., Wang, A. T., ... Hatala, R. (2012). Comparative effectiveness of instructional design features in simulation-based education: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *Medical Teacher*, 35(1), e867–e898. <https://doi.org/10.3109/0142159X.2012.714886>
- Csikszentmihalyi, M. (1990). *Flow: The Psychology of Optimal Experience*. Harper & Row.
- Hooshyar, D., Kori, K., Pedaste, M., & Bardone, E. (2021). A Systematic Literature Review of Analytics for Adaptivity and Assessment in Serious Games. *Frontiers in Education*, 5, 611072. <https://doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2020.611072>
- Kneebone, R. (2003). Simulation in surgical training: educational issues and practical implications. *Medical Education*, 37(3), 267-277. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2923.2003.01440.x>
- Kriglstein, S., & Wallner, G. (2024). The Role of AI in Serious Games and Gamification for Health: Scoping Review. *JMIR Serious Games*, 12, e48258. <https://doi.org/10.2196/48258>
- Lameras, P., Arnab, S., Dunwell, I., Stewart, C., Clarke, S., & Petridis, P. (2017). Essential features of serious games design in higher education: Linking



learning attributes to game mechanics. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 48(4), 972–994. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bjet.12467>

Rong, Q., Kong, W., Xiao, Y., & Gao, X. (2023). An Adaptive Testing Approach for Competence Using Competence-Based Knowledge Space Theory. In C. Anutariya, D. Liu, Kinshuk, A. Tlili, J. Yang, & M. Chang (Eds.), *Smart Learning for A Sustainable Society. ICSLE 2023. Lecture Notes in Educational Technology* (pp. 201-211). Springer, Singapore.  
[https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-99-5961-7\\_18](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-99-5961-7_18)

Shute, V. J. (2008). Focus on formative feedback. *Review of Educational Research*, 78(1), 153-189. <https://doi.org/10.3102/0034654307313795>

Toukiloglou, P., & Xinogalos, S. (2023). A Systematic Literature Review on Adaptive Supports in Serious Games for Programming. *Information*, 14(5), 277.  
<https://doi.org/10.3390/info14050277>

VanLehn, K. (1988). Student modeling. In M. C. Polson & J. J. Richardson (Eds.), *Foundations of intelligent tutoring systems* (pp. 55–78). Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Inc.